
ABSTRACT

The use of simulations can greatly aid in optimizing the design of natural convection heat exchangers (NCHEs) in solar domestic hot water (SDHW) systems. Fraser et al. (1992) presented a NCHE model that is used in the WATSUN solar simulation program, that requires experimental measurements of the heat exchanger thermal performance and shear pressure losses. Two TRNSYS models are here presented for a NCHE in a SDHW loop. The simple model, based on Fraser et al.'s work, requires experimental testing on the particular heat exchanger. The simple model can be used for optimizing SDHW system parameters (i.e. pipe lengths and diameters, collector areas, tank volume etc.) excluding the NCHE itself which is represented by the experimental curves. A detailed model, based upon cross flow correlations, requires geometric specifications of the NCHE being simulated and is applicable to shell and coil and counterflow configurations. By varying heat exchanger geometric parameters (such as the number of helices, diameters of helices, diameter and length of the heat exchanger shell) the detailed model can be used to design an optimum NCHE. Results comparing the detailed model with Fraser et al.'s experiments show reasonable agreement. Using the detailed model and the least cost savings economic analysis, simulations were performed to discover the optimal shell and coil NCHE geometry. It was found that considerably reducing the heat exchanger size led to enhanced economic performance over a 10 year period of economic analysis. Coil spacing and tube diameter had a lesser impact upon system performance than heat exchanger shell length and number of

helices. Thermo Dynamics Inc. manufactures a shell and coil NCHE that contains 4 coils and is 0.635 m. The optimal heat exchanger design contains 2 helices and is 0.45 m long. For a given set of system parameters, a SDHW system containing the optimally designed heat exchanger would save the consumer an extra \$110 in initial equipment cost, and \$52 over a 10 year period. Heat exchanger designs were subject to variations in system parameters, such as collector area, hot water draw, location and glycol flow rate. Although each set of system parameters suggested a different optimal design, overall, the optimal design found for the initial set of system parameters remained adequate. As different economic assumptions will lead to differing optimal heat exchanger lengths, this work can serve as a guide for those who desire to optimize a shell and coil NCHE based upon a prevailing set of economic assumptions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Oftentimes in the midst of this research problem I felt like a man lost in a labyrinth of old. Wherein the object of my labor was to escape the labyrinth alive and in a timely fashion, I could never truly know which path would lead me safely out of the labyrinth. I could spend countless hours along one path only to find an insurmountable wall before me, or even worse a Minotaur with a club. Many times I encountered these dead ends and the deadly beast, and was nearly bludgeoned to death once or twice. Still I managed to escape the labyrinth of grad school research alive. This work details the path I used to escape the labyrinth, so that others when faced with the problems of modeling NCHes, may quickly and safely resolve the problem, and move onto other challenges.

I would like to thank my advisors, Bill Beckman, and Sandy Klein for their guidance in this work. I would also like to thank my compatriots at the Solar Lab for the community we were able to establish. Thanks also to my wife, Karen, for her patience in the research process; Bill Wyatt for his sound advice on how to avoid injury or death at the hands of the Minotaur; Alexander (Raskolnikov) Fiksel for his help with TRNSYS; James Naismith for inventing the Game; Pushkin, Goethe, Renoir, Dr. Zhivago and Chagall for reminding me that there is life outside of grad school; and all the boys on the court for allowing me to hit, kick and pound on them at will.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iv
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xv
Nomenclature	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation: Demand Side Management and SDHW	1
1.2 Literature Review	3
1.3 Objective	7
2 Basic Concepts	9
2.1 Natural Convection in Fluid Loops	10
2.2 The Shell and Coil Heat Exchanger	12
2.3 Natural Convection and Forced Convection	13
2.4 Tank Stratification and System Efficiency	14
2.5 Low Collector Flow Rates And System Efficiency	15
2.6 The Solar Fraction	16
2.7 Water Storage Tank Energy Balance	17
3 The Simple Model	18

3.1	General Considerations	19
3.2	Pressure Drop Calculations	20
3.2.1	Pressure Drop in Pipes	21
3.2.2	Pressure Drop in Water Storage Tank	23
3.2.3	Pressure Drop in NCHE	24
3.3	Heat Transfer Calculations	25
3.3.1	NCHE Temperature Profile	25
3.3.2	Effectiveness-NTU Relationships	25
3.3.3	Modified Effectiveness Relationships	28
3.3.4	Heat Exchanger Energy Relationships	29
3.3.5	Density Correction Term	29
3.4	Other Considerations	31
3.4.1	NCHE Water Outlet Temperature as Water Flow Approaches Zero	31
3.4.2	Iterating Within the Model	32
3.4.3	Questions Concerning Fraser's Density Correction Factor	33
3.4.4	Measuring Shear Pressure Drop in the NCHE	36
3.5	Comparison of Simple Model to Fraser's Experimental Results	37
4	The Detailed Model	43
4.1	Geometry of a Shell and Coil NCHE	44
4.1.1	Transforming Concentric Helices into an Equivalent Tube Bundle	44
4.1.2	Geometry of Tubes in Cross Flow	46
4.1.3	Yaw Angle	48
4.2	Detailed Model Pressure Drop Calculation	49
4.2.1	Minor Losses	49
4.2.2	Jakob's Pressure Drop Analysis	54

4.2.3	Yawed Tube Correction Factor	55
4.2.4	Comparison of Detailed Pressure Drop Model to Experimental Curves	57
4.3	Detailed Model Heat Transfer Analysis	58
4.3.1	The Modified Effectiveness-NTU Approach	59
4.3.2	Zukauskas' Correlation for Tube Bundles in Cross Flow	60
4.3.3	Allen and Ajele's Correlation for Natural Convection Heat Transfer from Enclosed Helical Coils in a Vertical Orientation	62
4.3.4	Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Internal Flow Inside Helical Tubes	68
4.3.5	Correlation for Internal Flow for Straight Tubes	71
4.4	Transient Comparison of Detailed Model to Simple Model	72
5	Methods of Finding a Solution	75
5.1	Solution Using TRNSYS 14 Backsolver	76
5.1.1	TRNSYS 14 New Solver	76
5.1.2	Backsolving Using TYPE 67	77
5.1.3	Non-Backsolving Time Steps	78
5.1.4	The Effect of Incorrect Water Flow Rates on Tank Temperature	81
5.1.5	The Effect of Simulation Time Step on Backsolving	83
5.1.6	The Ultimate Effect of NBTSS	85
5.2	Solution Using Internal Regula Falsi with TRNSYS's New Solver (RFS1)	86
5.2.1	Solving with Regula Falsi	87
5.2.2	Results Using RFS1 Method	89
5.3	Solution Using Internal Regula Falsi with TRNSYS's Successive Substitution (RFSS)	90

5.3.1	Successive Substitution	90
5.3.2	Problems with the RFSS: Cycling Behavior	92
5.1.3	The Effect of Tolerance on Simulation Performance	95
5.4	Comparison of Solving Methods	96
5.5	The Possibility of Multiple Solutions	100
5.6	Conclusions	102
6	The Optimization of a Shell and Coil NCHE	103
6.1	Regarding the Simulations in General	104
6.1.1	Default System Parameters	104
6.1.2	April and Yearly Simulations	106
6.2	The Variation of Heat Exchanger Geometric Parameters	107
6.2.1	Variation of the Coil Spacing Intervals, Number of Coils, and Shell Diameter in the Heat Exchanger	108
6.2.2	Variation of Heat Exchanger Length	111
6.2.3	The Effect of Tube Diameter on System Performance	112
6.2.4	Summary of Findings	114
6.3	The Economic Analysis of a Modified Heat Exchanger Design	115
6.3.1	Life Cycle Savings Method	115
6.4	The Optimization of the Shell and Coil NCHE	118
6.4.1	Estimation of Heat Exchanger Cost	118
6.4.2	Optimization Simulation and Results	119
6.4.3	The Effect of System Parameters Upon Optimal Heat Exchanger Design	121
6.4.4	The Optimal Heat Exchanger Design	125
7	Conclusions and Recommendations	127
7.1	Recommendations for Further Work	128

Appendix A:	Detailed Model: Concentric Tube Counterflow Heat Exchanger	130
Appendix B:	Specifications on Thermo Dynamics Shell and Coil NCHE	137
Appendix C:	TYPE 67 (Simple Model) Description, TRNSYS Decks and Code	140
Appendix D:	TYPE 69 (Detailed Model) Description, TRNSYS Decks and Code	174
Appendix E:	EES Models: Simple and Detailed Models	224
References		233

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
CHAPTER 1		
1.1	Schematic of an Typical SDHW System	2
1.3.1	Fraser's Steady State Experimental Setup	5
1.3.2	Fraser's Transient Experimental Setup	6
CHAPTER 2		
2.1.1	Diagram of SDHW System	10
2.2.1	Top View of Thermo Dynamics' Shell and Coil HX	12
2.2.2	Side View of Thermo Dynamics' Shell and Coil HX	13
2.4.1	Five Node Stratified Water Storage Tank	14
CHAPTER 3		

3.1.2	Information Flow for Natural Convection Water Loop	20
3.2.1	Diagram of Pressure Drops in the Water Loop	21
3.2.2	Schematic for Determining Δz for Water Storage Tank	23
3.2.3	Fraser's Experimental ΔP_{shear} Curve	24
3.3.1	Sample Effectiveness Curve	27
3.3.2	Sample Modified Effectiveness Curve	27
3.3.3	Fraser's Experimental Modified Effectiveness Curves	28
3.4.1	HX Water Outlet Temperature as Water Flow Approaches Zero	32
3.4.2	Pseudocode of Simple Model	32
3.4.3	Effect of Density Correction Factor Upon Water Flow Rate	34
3.4.4	Effect of Density Correction Factor Upon Heat Transfer Rate	35
3.5.1	Comparison of Steady State Simple Model to Fraser's Model and Experimental Results: Water Flow Rates	38
3.5.2	Comparison of Steady State Simple Model to Fraser's Model and Experimental Results: Water Outlet Temperatures	38
3.5.3	Effect of Increasing Minor Loss Coefficient Upon Simple Model's Simulation Results: Water Flow Rates	40
3.5.4	Effect of Increasing Minor Loss Coefficient Upon Simple Model's Simulation Results: Water Outlet Temperature	40
3.5.5	Comparison of Steady State Simple Model to Fraser's Model and Experimental Results: Heat Transfer Rate	41
3.5.6	Comparison of Transient Simple Model to Fraser's Model and Experimental Results: Water Flow Rate	42
3.5.5	Comparison of Transient Simple Model to Fraser's	

Model and Experimental Results: Water Outlet Temperature	42
---	----

CHAPTER 4

4.1.1	Transforming a Coil Into Toroids	44
4.1.2	A Coil Transformed Into Toroids	45
4.1.3	Toroids Cut and Straightened	45
4.1.4	Equivalent Tube Bundle Extracted From Four Concentric Helices	46
4.1.5	Determination of S_L and S_T	47
4.1.6	Yaw Angle	48
4.2.1	Minor Losses Associated with Thermo Dynamics NCHE	49
4.2.2	Comparison of Minor and Major Losses in NCHE	50
4.2.3	R and a values for pipe ells	51
4.2.4	Comparison of Table Values and Hooper's Correlation for Shear Pressure Loss in NCHE ells	52
4.2.5	Entrance and Exit Minor Loss K Values Found in Tables	52
4.2.6	Comparison of Minor Loss Shear Pressure Drops	53
4.2.7	Comparison of Table Values and Hooper's Correlation for Total Shear Pressure Loss in Water Loop	54
4.2.8	Yaw Angle Pressure Drop Correction Factor	56
4.2.9	Comparison of Detailed Model's NCHE Shear Pressure Loss to Fraser's Experimental Curve	57
4.2.10	Effect of Correction Terms and Hooper's Correlation Upon Accuracy of Model	58

4.3.1	Steady State Comparison of Allen and Ajele's and Zukauskas' Correlations: Nu_o as a Function of $T_{g,i}$	65
4.3.2	Steady State Comparison of Allen and Ajele's and Zukauskas' Correlations: Q as a Function of $T_{g,i}$	65
4.3.3	Representative Temperature Distribution for HX	66
4.3.4	Comparison of Top Views of Allen and Ajele's and Detailed Model's HXs	67
4.3.5	Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Flow in Helices	69
4.3.6	Comparison of Heat Transfer Rate for Simple Model and Detailed Model Employing Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Flow in Helices	70
4.3.7	Comparison of Water Flow Rate for Simple Model and Detailed Model Employing Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Flow in Helices	70
4.3.8	Comparison of Heat Transfer Rate for Simple Model and Detailed Model Employing Straight Tube Correlation and Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Flow in Helices	71
4.3.9	Comparison of Water Flow Rate for Simple Model and Detailed Model Employing Straight Tube Correlation and Manlapanz and Churchill's Correlation for Flow in Helices	72
4.4.1	Comparison of Monthly Solar Fraction for Detailed and Simple Models	73

CHAPTER 5

5.1.1	TRNSYS Information Flow Diagram Used with Backsolving	77
5.1.2	Effect of Nonbacksolving Time Steps on Solar Fraction Solution	79

5.1.3	Example of NBTSS Effect on Water Flow Rate	80
5.1.4	Example of NBTSS Effect on Tank Temperatures	81
5.1.5	Example of Sudden Increase in Water Flow Rate	82
5.1.6	Sudden Increase in Water Flow Rate's Effect Upon Tank Temperature	82
5.1.7	Sudden Increases in Water Flow Rates Are Often Followed by NBTSS	83
5.1.8	Water Flow Rate for Three Different Time Steps	84
5.2.1	TRNSYS Information Flow Diagram Used with RFS1	86
5.2.2	Diagram Detailing Solving with Regula Falsi	87
5.3.1	Successive Substitution Block Diagram	90
5.3.2	Convergence With Successive Substitution	91
5.3.3	Cycling Behavior With Successive Substitution	93
5.3.4	Example of Cycling Behavior With Successive Substitution	93
5.3.5	Convergence With Secant Method	94
5.4.1	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Water Flow Rate	96
5.4.2	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Tank Top Node Temperature	97
5.4.3	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Tank Bottom Node Temperature	97
5.4.4	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Water Flow Rate	98
5.4.5	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Tank Top Node Temperature	99
5.4.6	Comparison of RFSS and Backsolving Methods for Generating Tank Bottom Node Temperature	99
5.5.1	Discrepancy in Water Flow Rates for RFSS	

	and Backsolving Methods	100
5.5.2	Error Curve for Constant Inlets at Time Step 9.25	101

CHAPTER 6

6.1.1	An Average Daily Hot Water Draw Profile Taken From WATSIM	105
6.1.2	Diagram of Piping Locations	106
6.1.3	Solar Fraction by Months Vs. Yearly Solar Fraction	107
6.2.1	Diagram of Some NCHE Geometric Parameters	108
6.2.2	Diagram of Some Geometric Parameters for Tube Bundles in Cross Flow	109
6.2.3	System Performance as a Function of Number of Coils and Tube Spacing	110
6.2.4	Diagram Detailing Changes in Pipe 5's Length and Rise as a Consequence of NCHE Length	111
6.2.5	Solar Fraction as a Function of Heat Exchanger Length	112
6.2.6	Solar Fraction as a Function of Tube Diameter and Number of Coils	113
6.2.7	Solar Fraction as a Function of Heat Transfer Area	114
6.4.1	Estimated Heat Exchanger Cost as a Function of Heat Exchanger Length and Number of Coils	119
6.4.2	Solar Fraction as a Function of Heat Exchanger Length and Number of Coils	120
6.4.3	Δ LCS as a Function of Heat Exchanger Length and Number of Coils	121
6.4.4	The Effect of Variation of Collector Area Upon Choice of Optimal Heat Exchanger Length for 2 Coil HX Design	122

6.4.5	The Effect of Location Upon Choice of Optimal Heat Exchanger Length for 2 Coil HX Design	122
6.4.6	The Effect of Magnitude of Water Draw Upon Choice of Optimal Heat Exchanger Length for 2 Coil HX Design	124
6.4.7	The Effect of Glycol Flow Rate Upon Choice of Optimal Heat Exchanger Length for 2 Coil HX Design	125

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
<hr/>		
CHAPTER 3		
<hr/>		
3.4.1	The Transient Effect of Density Correction Factor	35
<hr/>		
CHAPTER 4		
<hr/>		
4.2.1	Hooper's Correlation K Constants	51
4.2.2	Jakob's Correlation Parameters for Inline Tube Bundle	55
4.3.1	Zukauskas' Correlation Parameters	61
4.3.2	Allen and Ajele's Correlation Exponent m Values	64
4.4.1	Comparison of Detailed and Simple Models for a One Year Simulation	73
<hr/>		
CHAPTER 5		
<hr/>		
5.3.1	The Effect of Tolerances on Simulation Accuracy and Simulation Time	95
<hr/>		
CHAPTER 6		
<hr/>		
6.1.1	Piping Parameters Used in Simulations	106

NOMENCLATURE

a	fitting or curve radius [m]
A	area [m ²]
A_c	collector area [m ²]
BACK	using TRNSYS' backsolver
C^*	ratio of glycol to water capacitance rates
C_A	cost of solar equipment which is a function of collector area [\$/m ²]
C_b	yaw angle pressure correction factor
C_E	cost of solar equipment which is independent of collector area [\$/m ²]
C_p	specific heat [J/kg-°C]
D	diameter [m]
DCF	density correction factor
DHW	domestic hot water
DU_{tank}	change in internal energy of water storage tank [J]
De	Dean number
F	flow number; solar fraction
f_D	Darcy friction factor
f_j	Jakob's friction factor
g	gravitational acceleration [m/s ²]
h	heat transfer coefficient [W/m ² -°C]
H	height [m]
k	conductivity [W/m-°C]
K	minor loss coefficient
K^*	minor loss coefficients found using Hooper's correlation
K_l	minor loss coefficient at Re = 1

K_8	minor loss coefficient at $Re = 8$
L	length [m]
LCC	the sum of all costs associated with an energy delivery system over its lifetime or over a period of economic analysis [\$]
LCS	the difference between the LCC of a conventional DHW system and the LCC of a solar plus auxiliary energy DHW system [\$]
$DLCS$	the difference in LCS using two different energy delivery systems [\$]
\dot{m}	flow rate [kg/s]
$\dot{m}_{w,1}$	1st water flow rate entry in modified effectiveness data file [kg/s]
N	total number of tubes in equivalent tube bundle
NBTS	non-backsolving time step
N_L	number of tubes in flow direction in equivalent tube bundle
N_T	number of tubes in transverse direction in equivalent tube bundle
NTU	number of transfer units
Nu	Nusselt number
P	pressure [Pa]
DP	pressure difference, pressure loss, pressure gain [Pa]
P_1	ratio of life cycle fuel costs to 1st year fuel costs
P_2	ratio of life cycle expenditures that occur due to additional capital investment in the energy delivery system to the initial cost
Pr	Prandtl number
Q	heat transfer rate [W]
Ra	Rayleigh number
Ra^*	modified Rayleigh number
Re_D	Reynolds number
$Re_{D,max}$	maximum Reynolds number found in equivalent tube bundle in cross flow

$RFS1$	combination of regula falsi and TRNSYS' new solver
$RFSS$	combination of regula falsi and TRNSYS' successive substitution
S'	dimensionless flow space
$SDHW$	solar domestic hot water
SF	solar fraction
$S_{C,S}$	distance from outer diameter of outermost coil to shell [m]
S_L	coil spacing in flow direction [m]
S_T	coil spacing in transverse direction [m]
T	temperature [°C]
T_s	coil average surface temperature [°C]
$T_{s,l}$	tank storage node temperature [°C]
DT	change in temperature [°C]
UA_S	product of overall heat transfer coefficient and heat transfer area [W/°C]
U	velocity [m/s]
W	Fraser's density correction factor
z	height of node [m]
Z	depth of equivalent tube bundle [m]

Greek Symbols:

b	yaw angle [°]
e	effectiveness
e'	modified effectiveness
e'_l	1st entry in modified effectiveness data file
r	density [kg/m ³]
m	absolute viscosity [Pa-s]

n kinematic viscosity [m²/s]

Subscripts:

c coil

cf counterflow assumption in place; cross flow sectional

D_{hx} based upon hydraulic diameter

e effective

eq equivalent

exp experimental

g glycol

g,i glycol inlet

g,o glycol outlet

HX heat exchanger

i inside

max maximum

min minimum

o outside

P pipes

s storage; surface

sh shear

s,i inner surface

s,o outer surface

st static

tk tank

t,o tube outer

w water

w,i

water inlet

w,o

water outlet